



# **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/427,892	10/26/99	WEIFFEN		R	4452-263	
THOMAS C PON COHEN PONTAN		<b>-</b>	EXAMINER  LITLE TAME T ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
551 FIFTH AV SUITE 1210 NEW YORK NY	/ENUE	N & PAVANE		3613 DATE MAILED:	. 13	
				10/18/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

			7		Application	N .	Applicant(s)			
			•		09/427,892	_	WEIFFEN ET AL			
	Offic	Acti	n Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	<del></del>		
					Thomas J. V	filliams	3613			
Period f	The MAIL r Reply	ING D	ATE of this communic	ation app	ears on th c	over sheet with the d	rrespondenc ac	ddress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	MAILING E ensions of time r SIX (6) MONTI e period for repl period for repl are to reply with reply received b	DATE Conay be availed from the specified or the set on the Office or the	UTORY PERIOD FO OF THIS COMMUNIC ailable under the provisions of the mailing date of this communication of the I above is less than thirty (30) tied above, the maximum statu- tor extended period for reply we be later than three months after the second of the second of the second of the second the second of the second of th	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.13 nication. days, a reply utory period w ill, by statute.	6(a). In no event, within the statutor ill apply and will ecause the applica	however, may a reply be tir y minimum of thirty (30) day opire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ly. communication.		
1)🖂	Respons	ive to c	ommunication(s) file	d on <u>06 A</u>	<u>ugust 2001</u> .					
2a)□	This action	on is FI	NAL. 2I	b)🛛 Thi	s action is no	n-final.		٠		
3)□	Since this closed in	s applic accord	cation is in condition flance with the practic	for allowa ce under <i>E</i>	nce except fo Ex parte Qua	or formal matters, pi yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to th I53 O.G. 213.	ne merits is		
Dispositi	ion of Clai	ms								
4)⊠	Claim(s)	<u>1-10</u> is/	are pending in the ap	oplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	Claim(s) _	is	s/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1	<u>-10</u> is/a	are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) _	is	s/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) _	a	re subject to restriction	on and/or	election requ	uirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers	;			·					
9)□	The specifi	cation i	s objected to by the I	Examiner.						
	•		ed on is/are: a			iected to by the Exa	miner.			
			t request that any object			•				
11)🛛	The propos	ed drav	wing correction filed of	on <u>12 <i>Apr</i></u>	<i>il 2001</i> is: a)	⊠ approved b)⊟ d	isapproved by the	Examiner.		
	If approve	d, corre	cted drawings are requ	ired in repl	y to this Office	action.				
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or	declar	ation is objected to b	y the Exa	miner.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U	.s.c. §	§ 119 and 120							
13)🖂	Acknowled	Igment	is made of a claim fo	or foreign	priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	⊠ All b)□	Some	e * c) None of:							
	1.⊠ Cert	ified co	pies of the priority do	ocuments	have been r	eceived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
* S		applica	he certified copies of tion from the Internat etailed Office action	tional Bure	eau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).		Stage		
14)∐ A	cknowledg	ment is	made of a claim for	domestic	priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).		
a	) 🔲 The tra	anslatio	on of the foreign langues made of a claim for	uage prov	risional applic	ation has been rec	eived.	,		
Attachm ni					, , , , , , , ,	2 2 33 120				
2) Notice	•	son's Pa	(PTO-892) tent Drawing Review (PTC ement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	•	-,	Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper Not Patent Application (PT			
S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev				Office Acti	n Summary		Part of	Paper No. 13		

Application/Control Number: 09/427,892

Art Unit: 3613

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on September 14, 2001 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09427,892 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,971,180 to Kobayashi et al.

Re-claim 1, Kobayashi et al. discloses a vibration damper with a variable damping force, comprising: a working cylinder 12 filled with a damping medium; a piston, see as the combination of piston elements 14 and 92 fastened to a piston rod 16 arranged in an axially movable manner in the working cylinder and dividing the working cylinder into two working spaces 28 and 30; first 36 and second 42 non-return valves are arranged in the piston; a damping valve 90 comprising a valve body 94 and a valve seat defines a flow path 96, the damping valve is arranged in the piston having a variable damping action and is arranged in series with each of the first and second non-return valves, thereby acting in the rebound and compression directions, the damping valve in series with the first and second non-return valves will comprise a sole passage for the damping medium when traveling through the piston between the two working

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/427,892

Art Unit: 3613

spaces such that the damping fluid is required to flow through the flow path 96 of the damping valve when the damping fluid is exchanged between the two working spaces in the rebound and the compression directions of the vibration damper.

Re-claim 2, Kobayashi et al. discloses a damping valve comprises an externally activated actuator for adjusting the variable damping action, see column 5 lines 45-49 and column 6 lines 14-19.

Re-claim 3, the first and second non-return valves are spring loaded valve disks.

Re-claims 4 and 10, the damping valve of Kobayashi et al. is precontrollable to set settings, such as high damping force and low damping force, see column 5 lines 45-49 and column 6 lines 14-19.

Re-claim 5, Kobayashi et al. discloses an electromagnet, or solenoid, as the actuator for the damping valve.

Re-claim 6, the first and second non-return valves are accommodated together in the piston.

Re-claim 8, the first and second non-return valves and the damping valve are arranged in the piston. The examiner is interpreting the piston as the combination of individual piston elements 14 and 92.

Re-claim 9, the first and second non-return valves communicate with the lower working space 28 and the damping valve actuates via the flow connection to the upper working space 30.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3613

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al.

The first and second non-return valves are fixedly connected to the piston by element 44. Kobayashi et al. are silent as to if the non-return valves are preassembled as a modular unit. The examiner takes official notice that the non-return valves and associated seats are a modular unit as illustrated by Kobayashi et al. and that it would have been easier to assemble the unit prior to insertion into the working cylinder. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have preassembled the non-return valve and associated valve seats prior to their insertion into the working chamber, thus easing the assembly of the vibration damper.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-1346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

**TJW** 

October 15, 2001

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 310